

Advanced modeling techniques: Particle-swarm optimization

Parthapratim Biswas

The University of Southern Mississippi

NSF Summer School 2019

 $\mathcal{O} \mathcal{Q} \mathcal{O}$

<ロ><部</p>

OUTLINE

- An overview of inverse/hybrid approaches
 - Reverse Monte Carlo (RMC) simulations
 - Beyond RMC: Hybrid approaches (ECMR, FEAR, INDIA, etc.)
 - Population-based swarm intelligence
- Optimization of finite systems
 - Lennard-Jones clusters
 - Finnis-Sinclair and Sutton-Chen (Fe/Cu clusters)
- Bulk materials
 - Amorphous graphene
 - Amorphous silicon

 $\mathcal{A} \mathcal{A} \mathcal{A}$

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

Amorphous: without a clearly defined shape or form

- Where are the atoms/molecules?
- No translational symmetry (solids sans *k*-space)
- Structural determination
- Atomistic materials design \equiv a constrained optimization program

"If you want to understand function, study structure" -Francis Crick

999

<ロト < 団ト < 巨ト < 巨ト = 三

$$\Xi = \sum_{i} \left[\frac{S^{\exp}(Q_i) - S(Q_i)}{\sigma_i} \right]^2 + \sum_{j} \gamma_j f_j^{c}(X)$$

Key idea and some observations

- Inversion of experimental structure factors or pair-correlation data
- Use few constraints to include additional (e.g. topological) information
- Avoid too many competing constraints (pareto-optimality)
- Difficult to produce *necessary* higher-order correlations functions (beyond pair correlations).

McGreevy, R. L. JPCM 2001 Biswas + Atta-Fynn + Drabold, PRB 2004

 $\mathcal{A} \mathcal{A} \mathcal{A}$

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > □ ≥ < □ > □ ≥ < □ ≥ < □ ≥ < □ ≥ < □ ≥ < □ ≥ < □ ≥ < □ ≥ < □ ≥ < □ ≥ < □ ≥ < □ ≥ < □ ≥ < □ ≥ < □ ≥ < □ ≥ < □ ≥ < □ ≥ < □ ≥ < □ ≥ < □ ≥ < □ ≥ < □ ≥ < □ ≥ < □ ≥ < □ ≥ < □ ≥ < □ ≥ < □ ≥ < □ ≥ < □ ≥ < □ ≥ < □ ≥ < □ ≥ < □ ≥ < □ ≥ < □ ≥ < □ ≥ < □ ≥ < □ ≥ < □ ≥ < □ ≥ < □ ≥ < □ ≥ < □ ≥ < □ ≥ < □ ≥ < □ ≥ < □ ≥ < □ ≥ < □ ≥ < □ ≥ < □ ≥ < □ ≥ < □ ≥ < □ ≥ < □ ≥ < □ ≥ < □ ≥ < □ ≥ < □ ≥ < □ ≥ < □ ≥ < □ ≥ < □ ≥ < □ ≥ < □ ≥ < □ ≥ < □ ≥ < □ ≥ < □ ≥ < □ ≥ < □ ≥ < □ ≥ < □ ≥ < □ ≥ < □ ≥ < □ ≥ < □ ≥ < □ ≥ < □ ≥ < □ ≥ < □ ≥ < □ ≥ < □ ≥ < □ ≥ < □ ≥ < □ ≥ < □ ≥ < □ ≥ < □ ≥ < □ ≥ < □ ≥ < □ ≥ < □ ≥ < □ ≥ < □ ≥ < □ ≥ < □ ≥ < □ ≥ < □ ≥ < □ ≥ < □ ≥ < □ ≥ < □ ≥ < □ ≥ < □ ≥ < □ ≥ < □ ≥ < □ ≥ < □ ≥ < □ ≥ < □ ≥ < □ ≥ < □ ≥ < □ ≥ < □ ≥ < □ ≥ < □ ≥ < □ ≥ < □ ≥ < □ ≥ < □ ≥ < □ ≥ < □ ≥ < □ ≥ < □ ≥ < □ ≥ < □ ≥ < □ ≥ < □ ≥ < □ ≥ < □ ≥ < □ ≥ < □ ≥ < □ ≥ < □ ≥ < □ ≥ < □ ≥ < □ ≥ < □ ≥ < □ ≥ < □ ≥ < □ ≥ < □ ≥ < □ ≥ < □ ≥ < □ ≥ < □ ≥ < □ ≥ < □ ≥ < □ ≥ < □ ≥ < □ ≥ < □ ≥ < □ ≥ < □ ≥ < □ ≥ < □ ≥ < □ ≥ < □ ≥ < □ ≥ < □ ≥ < □ ≥ < □ ≥ < □ ≥ < □ ≥ < □ ≥ < □ ≥ < □ ≥ < □ ≥ < □ ≥ < □ ≥ < □ ≥ < □ ≥ < □ ≥ < □ ≥ < □ ≥ < □ ≥ < □ ≥ < □ ≥ < □ ≥ < □ ≥ < □ ≥ < □ ≥ < □ ≥ < □ ≥ < □ ≥ < □ ≥ < □ ≥ < □ ≥ < □ ≥ < □ ≥ < □ ≥ < □ ≥ < □ ≥ < □ ≥ < □ ≥ < □ ≥ < □ ≥ < □ ≥ < □ ≥ < □ ≥ < □ ≥ < □ ≥ < □ ≥ < □ ≥ < □ ≥ < □ ≥ < □ ≥ < □ ≥ < □ ≥ < □ ≥ < □ ≥ < □ ≥ < □ ≥ < □ ≥ < □ ≥ < □ ≥ < □ ≥ < □ ≥ < □ ≥ < □ ≥ < □ ≥ < □ ≥ < □ ≥ < □ ≥ < □ ≥ < □ ≥ < □ ≥ < □ ≥ < □ ≥ < □ ≥ < □ ≥ < □ ≥ < □ ≥ < □ ≥ < □ ≥ < □ ≥ < □ ≥ < □ ≥ < □ ≥ < □ ≥ < □ ≥ < □ ≥ < □ ≥ < □ ≥ < □ ≥ < □ ≥ < □ ≥ < □ ≥ < □ ≥ < □ ≥ < □ ≥ < □ ≥ < □ ≥ < □ ≥ < □ ≥ < □ ≥ < □ ≥ < □ ≥ < □ ≥ < □ ≥ < □ ≥ < □ ≥ < □ ≥ < □ ≥ < □ ≥ < □ ≥ < □ ≥ < □ ≥ < □ ≥ < □ ≥ < □ ≥ < □ ≥ < □ ≥ < □ ≥ < □ ≥ < □ ≥ < □ ≥ < □ ≥ < □ ≥ < □ ≥ < □ ≥ < □ ≥ < □ ≥ < □ ≥ < □ ≥ < □ ≥ < □ ≥ < □ ≥ < □ ≥ < □ ≥ < □ ≥ < □ ≥ < □ ≥ < □ ≥ < □ ≥ < □ ≥ < □ ≥ < □ ≥ < □ ≥ < □ ≥ < □ ≥ < □ ≥ < □ ≥ < □ ≥ < □ ≥ < □ ≥ < □ ≥ < □ ≥ < □ ≥ < □ ≥ < □ ≥ < □ ≥ < □ ≥ < □ ≥ < □ ≥ < □ ≥ < □ ≥ < □ ≥ < □ ≥ < □ ≥ < □ ≥ < □ ≥ < □ ≥ <

Hybrid approaches: Merging theory with experiments

- 'Melt-quench' MD: highly successful but has limitations (e.g. a-Si, a-Ge, etc).
- Hybrid philosophy: combine experimental data with simulations form an *augmented* solution space
- Choose from *experimentally-feasible* solutions obtain self-consistency between force-fields and experimental data. Several schemes are possible, e.g., ECMR, FEAR, INDIA

Biswas + Tafen + Drabold, PRB 2005 Biswas + Atta-Fynn + Drabold, PRB 2007 Atta-Fynn + Biswas, JPCM 2009 Pandey + Biswas + Drabold, PRB 2015 Prasai + Biswas + Drabold, Sci. Rep 2015 Solution space (Experiments) Solution space (Theory)

Configurational coordinate

NSF Summer School 2019

Generalized

potential

3

 $\mathcal{A} \mathcal{A} \mathcal{A}$

.∢ ⊒ ▶

Inverse/hybrid approaches: curse of high dimensionality



Observation: Glass-structure determination is a difficult optimization problem,associated with computational complexity theory.Is P = NP?(a Clay Institute millennium problem; Status: unsolved)

SQ (~

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

Information-driven approach to materials design



(discrete/continuous/fuzzy systems)

Biswas + Timilsina JPCM 2011 Timilsina + Biswas JPCM 2013 Biswas + Drabold + Atta-Fynn JAP 2014 Biswas + Elliott JPCM 2015 Atta-Fynn + Biswas JCP 2018 Limbu et al. PRB 2018

Partha Biswas (USM)

NSF Summer School 2019

June 6, 2019 7 / 22

 $\mathcal{A} \mathcal{A} \mathcal{A}$

- Introduced by Kennedy and Eberhart (IEEE 1995) to understand the social behavior (e.g., graceful but unpredictable 'choreography') of a flock of birds
- Plock dynamics have a cognitive component and a social component to attain optinal formation
- 3 Adopted for optmization problems in high dimension

Key ideas

- A population-based stochastic search algorithm
- Motivated by the foraging behavior of a school of fish or a flock of birds
- Mimics socio-psychological behaviors to emulate the success of others!

Implementation

- Swarm size (10–20)
- An ansatz for 'time' evolution
- Ability to simulate socio-psychological behaviors
- Knowledge-sharing networks

• A symbiotic cooperative algorithm

- Introduced by Kennedy and Eberhart (IEEE 1995) to understand the social behavior (e.g., graceful but unpredictable 'choreography') of a flock of birds
- Plock dynamics have a cognitive component and a social component to attain optimal formation
- 3 Adopted for optmization problems in high dimension

Key ideas

- A population-based stochastic search algorithm
- Motivated by the foraging behavior of a school of fish or a flock of birds
- Mimics socio-psychological behaviors to emulate the success of others!

Implementation

- Swarm size (10–20)
- An ansatz for 'time' evolution
- Ability to simulate socio-psychological behaviors
- Knowledge-sharing networks

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ □▶ ◆ □▶ ─

• A symbiotic cooperative algorithm

Particles \equiv Bees (with mobile phones!)

3

SQ (V

- Introduced by Kennedy and Eberhart (IEEE 1995) to understand the social behavior (e.g., graceful but unpredictable 'choreography') of a flock of birds
- Plock dynamics have a cognitive component and a social component to attain optinal formation
- 3 Adopted for optmization problems in high dimension

Key ideas

- A population-based stochastic search algorithm
- Motivated by the foraging behavior of a school of fish or a flock of birds
- Mimics socio-psychological behaviors to emulate the success of others!

Implementation

- Swarm size (10–20)
- An ansatz for 'time' evolution
- Ability to simulate socio-psychological behaviors
- Knowledge-sharing networks
- A symbiotic cooperative algorithm

Particles \equiv Bees (with mobile phones!)

Bees bring nectar, pollen, and information to their hives (Von Frisch 1927) $\equiv -9 \circ \circ$

Partha Biswas (USM)

NSF Summer School 2019

June 6, 2019 8 / 22

A basic algorithm

Partha Biswas

 $\begin{aligned} \mathbf{x}_{i+1}(t) &= \mathbf{x}_i(t) + \mathbf{v}_i(t+1) \\ v_{ij}(t+1) &= v_{ij}(t) + c_1 r_{1j}(t) [y_{ij}^{pbest}(t) - x_{ij}(t)] + c_2 r_{2j}(t) [y_{ij}^{gbest}(t) - x_{ij}(t)] \\ i &= \text{particle index}, \quad j = \text{dimension} \end{aligned}$

 y^{pbest} cognitive component (personal best) $y^{g/lbest}$ social component (global/local best) $r_i \in U(0,1)$ c_1 and c_2 PSO parameters



 $\mathcal{O} \mathcal{Q} \mathcal{O}$

PSO: A simple algorithm

Global-best PSO

```
Create a population "solutions" of size n_p of dimension n_d
repeat
for each particle i = 1, ..., n_p
do:
// find personal best
if (f(x_i) < f(y_i)) then
y_i = x_i
end
// find global best (among all personal best)
if (f(y_i) < f(y^{gbest}) then
v^{gbest} = y_i
end
end do:
for each particle i = 1, ..., n_p
do:
update the velocity
update the position
end do
until convergence criteria are met
```

Partha Biswas (USM)

SQ (V

PSO: A simple illustration



Evolution in a multi-modal potential

三王

5900

< ロ ト < 団 ト < 三 ト < 三 ト</p>

PSO: Applications to LJ clusters

A modified PSO algorithm

- Local best PSO algorithm
- Star network geometry for knowledge sharing
- Opulation size: 6-20
- O gradient information
- Solution Local trapping is avoided by adding several modifications (e.g. rotation and translation in hyperspace)

Compare structures with those from the CCD at

http://www-wales.ch.cam.ac.uk/CCD.html

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

LJ clusters: PSO versus CCD

Ν	PSO (eV)	CCD (eV)	ΔΕ
9	-24.113	-24.113	0.0
10	-28.423	-28.423	0.0
20	-77.177	-77.177	0.0
28	-117.822	-117.823	0.001
30	-128.071	-128.286	0.215
38	-173.156	-173.928	0.772 (*)
40	-185.220	-185.249	0.009
50	-244.492	-244.549	0.057
75	-396.117	-397.492	1.375 (*)

Caution: LJ_{38} and LJ_{75} are the two most difficult cases

Wales and Doye, J.Phys. Chem. A 1997 Biswas and Elliott 2019 (In prepration)

 $\mathcal{O}\mathcal{Q}\mathcal{O}$

LJ clusters: PSO versus CCD

PSO-LJ75 (-396.117 eV)



CCD-LJ75 (-396.282 eV)





PSO-LJ50 (-244.549 eV)

CCD-LJ50 (-244.492 eV)

Partha Biswas (USM)

NSF Summer School 2019

June 6, 2019 14 / 22

 $\mathcal{O}\mathcal{Q}\mathcal{O}$

Fe clusters: PSO versus CCD

Ν	PSO (eV)	CCD (eV)	ΔE
10	-28.535	-28.535	0.0
15	-46.636	-46.637	0.001
20	-64.837	-64.838	0.001
25	-82.938	82.940	0.002
30	-101.448	-101.451	0.003
35	-119.592	-119.597	0.005
55	-194.358	-194.686	0.328

Elliott, Shibuta and Wales, Phil. Mag. 2009

NSF Summer School 2019

Fe clusters: PSO versus CCD

CCD-FE10 (-28.535 eV)



PSO-FE10 (-28.534 eV)





June 6, 2019 16 / 22

E

590

NSF Summer School 2019

Partha Biswas (USM)

Amorphous or disordered graphene

Simulation details

- Size 500 atoms; density 0.36–0.4 atoms/Å² (cf. 0.38 for Graphene)
- Bond-order potential followed by ab initio relaxations
- Global-best PSO with a swarm size of 8–12



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆豆▶ ◆豆▶

3

Amorphous graphene: Pair-correlation functions



June 6, 2019 18 / 22

王

 $\mathcal{O} \mathcal{Q} \mathcal{O}$

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >



Relaxation: SIESTA with conjugate gradient method

毫

 $\mathcal{A} \mathcal{A} \mathcal{A}$

-< 臣 ▶ < 臣 ▶

< 4 ▶

Amorphous graphene: Surface roughness

RMS ΔZ

Max ΔZ



Planar density (atoms/Å²)

NSF Summer School 2019

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ ≧▶ ◆ ≧▶

王

 $\mathcal{O} \mathcal{Q} \mathcal{O}$

Amorphous graphene: Electronic density of states



Moot point: metal, semimetal or insulator?

▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲ 臣▶ ▲ 臣▶

E

 $\mathcal{O} \mathcal{Q} \mathcal{O}$

Acknowledgments

- Basudev Oli (Former student, Temple University)
- NSF-Lehigh Materials Research Fellowship (on new functionality of glasses)
- Trinity College, Cambridge (UK)

Thank you!

<ロト < 団 ト < 臣 ト < 臣 ト 三 臣</p>

June 6, 2019

 $\mathcal{O} \mathcal{Q} \mathcal{O}$

22 / 22